UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

JAMES M. ASSEY AND JOAN P. ASSEY	Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-02647-JDA
Plaintiffs,))
vs. AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.	PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S RULE 26(a)(3) PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES
Defendant.)))
)))

Plaintiffs James M. Assey and Joan P. Assey (collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through the undersigned counsel, object to the admissibility of the materials from American Honda Motor Co., Inc. ("AHM") as indicated in the below table for the reasons detailed below.

Deponent / Exhibit	Objectionable Lines / Bates	Comments
	Numbers	
D6 (a, c, and d)	SCHP 000001-000013	FRE 801
	SCHP 000192-000200	
	SCHP 000201-000202	
D7	SCDPS911 000001-	FRE 801
	000022	
D8	Irmo Fire Dept 000001	FRE 801
D9	Lexington EMS 000001-	FRE 801
	000008	
D10, D11	Allstate 000001-000014;	These exhibits involve auto insurance for the
	Liberty Mutal Insurance	parties involved and insurance for parties outside
	000001-000771	this litigation. Evidence that a person was or was
		not insured is not admissible.
D12, D13		Objection; as to irrelevant hearsay portions
		regarding speeds pending the Court's ruling on

Deponent / Exhibit	Objectionable Lines / Bates Numbers	Comments
		Plaintiffs' Motion <i>in Limine</i> as regards admissibility of speeds. FRE 801.
D18, D19	Midlands 001-009; PhilHughes 001-055	FRE 801
D171-174		Objection to the extent any of these exhibits have not been produced to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs request these exhibits be produced for review and consideration prior to their use at trial.
D179		FRE 401-403
D183-184		Objection to the extent any of these exhibits have not been produced to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs request these exhibits be produced for review and consideration prior to their use at trial.
D187-196		Objection to the extent any of these exhibits have not been produced to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs request these exhibits be produced for review and consideration prior to their use at trial; D194 contains ID only articles and papers and as such are inadmissible. D196 is a deposition transcript and as such is inadmissible.
D199-208		Objection to the extent any of these exhibits have not been produced to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs request these exhibits be produced for review and consideration prior to their use at trial. D208 contains ID only articles and papers and as such are inadmissible.
D263-265		Objection to the extent any of these exhibits have not been produced to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs request these exhibits be produced for review and consideration prior to their use at trial.
D274		Objection to the extent any slide contains material not already reviewed or inspected as part of pretrial exchanges.
Corey Kracht deposition designations		No objections; No Counter Designations

Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the table above which AHM has listed as trial exhibits are inadmissible as evidence and exhibits for the reasons stated above and because the documents are

Page 3 of 4

otherwise improper, cumulative or irrelevant to any issue to be tried in this case. Notwithstanding the referenced objections, Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to object to any exhibit on grounds of relevance under Fed. R. Evid. 402 or 403 at the appropriate time. Further, Plaintiffs' objections for all of Defendant's exhibits are subject to amendment at any time upon discovery that an exhibit Defendant intends to offer does not match the document upon which Plaintiffs has asserted their objection. For documents identified on Defendant's exhibit list that are not presently in Plaintiffs' possession, Plaintiffs object to such exhibits upon all available groups for exclusion, until such time as a copy of such exhibits have been provided to Plaintiffs. Lastly, Plaintiffs object to the numerous exhibits entries that do not properly identify individual exhibits but multiple, separate documents that should be identified separately.

[Signature page to follow]

_

¹ Defendant states in their pre-trial disclosures that they reserve the right to use and offer into evidence English translations of any document listed that was produced in Japanese language [Dkt. No. 143, fn. 1, p. 6]. Plaintiffs object to the introduction of any English translation, which must be a certified translation, before Plaintiffs have a chance to review the translation and corroborate its authenticity.

Respectfully submitted,

By: s/Kevin R. Dean_

Kevin R. Dean (Fed. I.D.: 8046) Lee M. Heath (Fed. I.D.: 9794) Marlon Kimpson, Esq. (Fed I.D.: 17042)

MOTLEY RICE LLC

28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464

Phone: (843) 216-9000 kdean@motleyrice.com lheath@motleyrice.com mkimpson@motleyrice.com

Luther J. Battiste, III, Esq.

JOHNSON TOAL & BATTISTE, P.A.
1615 Barnwell St.

Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Phone: (803) 252-9700

bat@jtbpa.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Mount Pleasant, South Carolina

Dated: April 23, 2025